

### REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed February 8, 2005, Examiner rejected claims 1-13 as reciting subject matter, namely, the inner berm, outer berm, pair of jaws, clam-shell hinge, rigid flange, fulcrum, first locking means, and second locking means, as not being shown in the drawings, Examiner further opining that the disclosure lacked the detailed structure recited in the claims. Claims 4-6 and 12 were also objected to as reciting "said inner berm members" although only a singular inner berm member was set forth in claim 1.

Claims 4-6 and 12 have now been amended so as to be consistent with claim 1.

The drawings and specification have been amended to add reference numerals to provide for ease of cross referencing between the specification and drawings in respect of the inner berm, outer berm, pair of jaws, clam-shell hinge, rigid flange, fulcrum, first locking means, and second locking means. No new subject matter has been added.

The application was also objected to under a provisional double patenting rejection under 35 USC 101 in view of co-pending application No. 10/825,289. Examiner is advised that the applicant in the present application is also the applicant in application No. 10/825,289 and that application No. 10/825,289 is being abandoned. Consequently, conflicting claims between the two applications will not in fact be patented.

### In the Drawings

Applicant submits proposed changes to Figures 2, 5-9 and 11, as shown. Applicant requests that the Examiner consider the changes. A complete set of drawings is enclosed.